Application No. 10/777,200

Reply to Office Action of May 25, 2006

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS** 

Favorable consideration of this application, in light of the following discussion, is

respectfully requested.

Claims 2-70 are pending.

In the Official Action, the Information Disclosure Statement of March 8, 2005 was

objected to: and Claims 2-70 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-69 of U.S. Patent

6,725,203.

Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement, no form 1449 was filed with the

Japanese Office Action because the Japanese Office Action is not prior art but is merely

provided to the Examiner for the Examiner's convenience. Applicants submit that no actions

are required on this issue.

Applicants file herewith a Terminal Disclaimer relative to U.S. Patent 6,725,203.

Accordingly, in light of the previous discussion, Applicants respectfully submit that

the present application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request an early and

favorable action to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 03/06)

Bradley D. Lytle Attorney of Record Registration No. 40,073

Michael E. Monaco

Registration No. 52,041

I:\ATTY\MM\248331US-RESPONSE.DOC

2